

# EU-GMP-Guide Chapter 8: Complaints, Quality Defects and Recalls

Georg Göstl

Baxter AG

25. Juni 2013





Termin zur Stellungnahme: 18. Juli 2013

Bisher: 1 ½ Seiten, 16 Abschnitte

Draft: 5 Seiten, 31 Abschnitte

#### Reasons for changes:

- ...reflect **QRM** principles ... investigating **quality defects/complaints** ... making decisions ... to product **recalls or other risk-mitigating actions**.
- ... emphasise the <u>need for the cause(s)</u> of quality defects/complaints ... <u>appropiate preventative actions</u> are put in place ... against a recurrence
- ... clarify expectations and responsibilities ... reporting of quality defects to the Supervisory Authority.



#### Principle:

- ► Public and animal health
- ➤ Procedures in place to record, investigate and review, and effectively and promptly recall
- ➤ QRM principles applied to investigation and assessment and decision-making process
- All concerned competent authorities informed of quality defect which may result in **recall** or **abnormal restriction in the supply**
- Contract describing role and responsibilities for outsourced activities



#### Personnel and Organisation:

- ➤ Trained and experienced personnel for managing and deciding
- These persons should be independent from sales and marketing organisations
- ➤ If this does not include the QP, the latter should be made formally aware of any investigations, any risk-reducing actions and any recall operations, in a timely manner
- Sufficient personnel and resources (investigation, interaction with competent authorities)
- ➤ Inter-disciplinary teams should be considered
- Document roles and responsibilities if complaint and quality defect handling is managed centrally



# Procedures for handling and investigating complaints including possible quality defects:

- Written procedures (include investigation for suspected adverse events)
- ➤ Document all complaints and assess for potential quality defect
- Not all complaints may represent a defect, appropriate documentation for non-defects
- Consider checking or testing of reference/retention samples and review of batch production record
- ➤ Request sample for appropriate evaluation
- Assess risk posed by the quality defect
- ➤ Decision making process for risk-reducing actions
- Assess impact that any recall may have on availability and need to notify relevant authorities
- ► Internal and external communication
- ➤ Identification of potential root cause(s) and need for CAPA including assessment of effectiveness of CAPAs



#### Investigation and Decision Making:

- Record information and assess its validity and extent of defects acc. QRM principles
- Consider checking other batches / other products (determine whether they are also affected)
- Investigations should include review of previous defect reports for any indication of specific or recurring problems
- Decisions should reflect the level of risk as well as seriousness of any non-compliance with marketing authorisation or GMP
- Ensure patient and animal safety is maintained
- ► Risk-reducing actions taken at appropriate time-point
- ► All decisions and measures documented
- ➤ Quality defects reported in a timely manner to all concernced competent authorities in case it may result in a recall or abnormal restriction in supply



## Root Cause Analysis and Corrective and Preventative Actions:

- ➤ Appropriate level of root cause analysis
- ➤ If true root cause(s) cannot be determined, identify most likely root cause(s) and address those
- ➤ Special attention whether a defect relates to falsification
- > Human error: formal justification and care! Ensure other errors or problems are not overlooked
- CAPAs should be identified and taken, effectiveness of such actions monitored and assessed
- ➤ Quality defect records reviewed and trend analysis performed regularly for any indication of specific or recurring problems



#### Product Recalls and other potential risk-reducing actions:

- ➤ Written procedures
- Any retrieval of product from distribution network as a result of a quality defect is a recall
- ➤ Recall operations capable of being initiated promptly and at any time
- ➤ Certain cases may need recall prior to establishing the root cause(s)
- ➤ Distribution records readily available
- ►IMP: all trial sites and countries identified (Sponsor: rapid unblinding)
- ➤ Consultation with competent authorities (CA) (extent of recall)
- ➤ Inform CA also for no-recall for quality defect of expired batch
- All CAs informed in advance wherever possible, only for very serious issue recall may be taken in advance of notifying
- Consider wether proposed recall may affect different markets in different ways and discuss appropriate action with concerned CAs
- Consider risk of shortage for product with no authorised alternative
- Any decision not to execute a risk-reduction action which would otherwise be reqired should be agreed with the CA in advance



#### Product Recalls and other potential risk-reducing actions:

- Recalled products identified and stored separately in a secure area
- Formal disposition documented including rationale for disposition or any rework should be discussed with the relevant CA.
- Consider extent of shelf-life remaining for any reworked batches
- Record progress of recall process and issue a final report (including reconciliation of delivered/recovered quantities)
- Evaluate effectiveness of recall procedures periodically (both within office-hour as well as out-of-office hour situations) and consider performing a mock-recall (evaluation should be documented and justified)
- ➤ In addition to recalls, other potential risk-reducing actions may be considered (e.g. communication to healthcare professionals) on a case-by-case basis and should be discussed with the concerned CA



Kommentare, die die AQPA an die EMA weiterleiten soll? (Frist: 18. Juli 2013)